

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 30, 2006    LB 72; 542A

to E & R Initial? All in favor of the motion vote aye; all those opposed vote nay. The question before the body is advancement of LB 542A to E & R Initial. Have you all voted on the question who care to? Please record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK:        31 ayes, 1 nay, Mr. President, on the advancement of LB 542A.

SENATOR CUDABACK: The motion was successful. LB 542A does advance. We now go on to General File. Mr. Clerk, LB 72, please.

CLERK: Mr. President, LB 72, originally introduced by Senator Stuhr. (Read title.) Introduced on January 6 of last year, at that time referred to the Government Committee, advanced to General File. The bill has been discussed on February 16 of last year, January 19 and January 23 of this year. Committee amendments as well as a number of amendments to the committee amendments have been adopted, Mr. President. I now have pending to the bill, Senator Chambers' FA362. (Legislative Journal page 440.)

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Mr. Clerk. It has been several days, Senator Stuhr, since we have discussed this. Would you give us brief summary of the bill itself?

SENATOR STUHR: Thank you, Mr. President and members of the body. For some time we have been discussing LB 72, which proposes to license and regulate security guards by creating the Security Personnel Licensing Act. As has been stated, we began this discussion last year. We have been working with the industry and I have been working with members of this body to smooth the language and to make it more acceptable. So with that, I think that gives just a little bit of the background of the bill. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Stuhr. Senator Chambers, you have opened, but would you like to give us a brief summary of your amendment, please?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature,